Attorney Docket: NAKAI-008US

REMARKS

Applicant hereby responds to the Restriction Requirement mailed May 5, 2011 in relation to the above-identified patent application. In that Restriction Requirement, the Examiner requested restriction under 35 U.S.C. §121 to one of two (2) purportedly patentably distinct species of the present invention. More particularly, the Examiner requested restriction to either Species I as depicted in Figure 2 or Species II as depicted in Figures 3-4. The Examiner also indicated that independent Claim 1 as originally filed is generic.

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant hereby provisionally elects, without traverse, Species I shown in Figure 2 for initial prosecution on the merits. Consistent with this election, by this Amendment, Applicant has withdrawn Claim 3, and has amended Claims 4-8 to eliminate any dependency on withdrawn Claim 3. However, due to underlying independent Claim 1 being generic, Applicant will seek the rejoinder of withdrawn Claim 3 upon any indication of the allowance of Claim 1, and will further amend Claims 4-8 to add back the dependency to Claim 3. If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number 19-4330.

...

By:

Date:

Customer No.: 007663

Mark B. Garred

Registration No. 34,823

Respectfully submitted.

STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER

75 Enterprise, Suite 250

Aliso Viejo, California 92656 Telephone: (949) 855-1246

Fax: (949) 855-6371

TACtiont Documents/AMKORATAA/Resp.RR.doc